Strong Leaders. Stronger Communities.

GRPI TOOL: GOALS, ROLES, PROCESS & INTERPERSONAL

Description and Use:

This model provides a framework for diagnosing and improving team effectiveness. The model is hierarchical: start with goals; then allocate work/roles; then identify team processes; and finally, deal with personalities, style and cultural differences to minimize process loss by systematically working through each layer of team development.

Goals

CORE MISSION OF TEAM

Performance Objectives

Roles

ALLOCATION OF WORK

- ➤ Role Responsibility
- Work Process

Process

TEAM PROCESSES

- Decision Making
- Conflict Management
- Problem Solving
- > Communication

Interpersonal

INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS AND INDIVIDUAL STYLES

Rate your team:	1 = Too little or to no extent
	5 = To a great extent
GOALS:	
1. Goals are clear and people are committed to them.	1 2 4 5
DOLEC & DECDONCIDILITIES.	
ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES:	
2. The work is organized in a way which clearly leads to accomplishing the	_
4. There is maximum use of the different resources of individuals on the t	eam. 1245
6. Everybody is clear on their responsibilities and jobs.	1245
7. The leadership is shared.	1245
PROCESS:	
8. Decisions are based on who has the expertise and best information,	1245
not on hierarchy or authority.	
9. Conflict on the team is confronted openly and constructively.	1245
INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS:	
10. There is trust and openness in communication and relationships.	1245
11. Time is taken to examine our process (how we relate to each other,	
communicate, handle conflict, etc.) to improve the way we work.	1245
12. Flexibility, sensitivity to the needs of others, creativity is encouraged.	1245
12. Healbuilty, sensitivity to the needs of others, creativity is encouraged.	1243

©1988 Michael Brim, Ram Charan, Dale Lake, Hirtaka Takeuchi, Noel M. Tichy

Strong Leaders. Stronger Communities.

GRPI PROCESS TOOL

The GRPI model can be used effectively as an assessment tool or as a planning model. Use the GRPI model sequentially, but consider the following ideas to probe the team's thinking and to add depth to the facilitation process.

Goals:

- Where they defined, verbalized, and was there buy-in on goals?
- Clarity on goals vs. tasks
- ➤ Was the goal clear to all members? How did you know?
- ➤ Did the goals change? Why?
- Were goals from previous activities incorporated into these goals?
- ➤ Are you a learning team?

Roles:

- List all roles (e.g. facilitator/coordinator/leader, timekeeper, process observer, ethics/quality reviewer, etc.)
- > Did those roles have clear definition? Did they change? Why?
- Were members satisfied and comfortable in their roles?
- > Were strengths and interests recognized and utilized in role acquisition?
- ➤ How was leadership being exerted?

Process:

- Was there a process time-flow? Rate the balance of planning vs. implementation time.
- How were decisions made? What were the decision-making models? Was it a deliberate choice?
- Were schedule and agendas used effectively?
- Were multiple alternatives generated before action took place?
- Were adjustments made as the task progressed? Why? How?
- Did the team pause regularly to assess its progress and quality?

Interpersonal:

- ➤ Were all skill sets recognized?
- Was the climate conducive to freedom to express opinions? Did anyone have anything left unsaid at the end? How does the team know?
- Is the team stronger as a team after the task?
- Was there effective listening? Give examples.